

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:28

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY R. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV0634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	<u>GENERAL VERDICT FORM #1</u>
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	


SECTION 1983 CLAIM FOR UNLAWFUL ARREST

Please check only **ONE** of the following two choices:

On Plaintiff Radvansky's section 1983 claim for unlawful arrest, we the Jury, being duly impaneled, sworn and affirmed, find in favor of:

1. _____ Plaintiff Geoffrey R. Radvansky and we fix the damages in the sum of: \$ _____.
2. X Defendant Thomas Telegdy

Each of us said jurors concurring in said verdict signs their name hereto on this 14th day of June, 2005.



Each of us said jurors concurring in said verdict signs their name hereto on this 14th
day of June, 2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:28

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 1

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a dispute between Derrick Rosemark ("Mr. Rosemark"), the owner of the property located at 26060 Redwood Drive ("the Redwood Dr. residence") and Plaintiff Radvansky?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 2

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:28

CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 2

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the dispute between Mr. Rosemark and Plaintiff Radvansky was over money?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
ARRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)
)
) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)
) JURY INTERROGATORY # 3
)
)
)
)
)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the dispute between Mr. Rosemark and Plaintiff Radvansky was over the right to live at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 4

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

CITY OF AKRON DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 4

Defendants.

Answer Yes or No

No

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 5

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOIN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 5

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer had prior knowledge of the existence of a dispute between Plaintiff Radvansky and Mr. Rosemark concerning Plaintiff Radvansky's privilege to live at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No

No

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 6

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Derrick Rosemark informed
Detective Caine that Plaintiff no longer lived at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No

yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 7

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy was aware of
Derrick Rosemark's statement to Detective Caine that Plaintiff Radvansky no longer lived at the
Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No

No

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 8

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer was aware of
Derrick Rosemark's statement to Detective Caine that Plaintiff no longer lived at the Redwood
Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No

NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 9
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky abandoned the Redwood Dr. residence prior to the time of arrest?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", you must answer "No" to Interrogatory #10, skip Interrogatories #11-14, and continue on to answer interrogatory #15 and those thereafter.
If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #10.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

05 JUN 14 PM 4:29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)
)
) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)
) JURY INTERROGATORY # 10
)

Do you find that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood Dr. residence at the time of the arrest?

Answer Yes or No

NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", continue on to answer Interrogatory # 11

If your answer is "No", skip Interrogatories #12-14, and continue on to answer Interrogatory # 15

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 11
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy was aware that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood Dr. residence at the time of the arrest?

Answer Yes or No _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 12

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 12
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer was aware that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood residence at the time of the arrest?

Answer Yes or No _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatories #13 & 14, and continue on to answer Interrogatory # 15 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory # 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 13
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that a reasonable person who possesses the same official expertise as Officer Telegdy would know that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood Dr. residence at the time of the arrest given the facts and circumstances known to him at the time of the arrest ?

Answer Yes or No _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 14

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 14
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that a reasonable person who possesses the same official expertise as Officer Saxer would know that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood Dr. residence at the time of the arrest given the facts and circumstances known to him at the time of the arrest ?

Answer Yes or No _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:44
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 15

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Teledgy relied solely on Mr. Rosemark's representations in making the arrest and ignored substantial exculpatory evidence to the contrary?

Answer Yes or No NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is Yes, continue on to answer Interrogatory # 16

16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:44
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 17
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the arrest, Defendant Telegdy failed to take into account all the evidence before him prior to placing Plaintiff Radvansky under arrest?

Answer Yes or No NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this 14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:44
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)
)
) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)
) JURY INTERROGATORY # 18
)
)
)
)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the arrest, Defendant Saxer failed to take into account all the evidence before him prior to placing Plaintiff Radvansky under arrest?

Answer Yes or No

No

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 19
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Teledgy, at the time of the arrest, had reasonably reliable information, independent of Mr. Rosemark's allegations, that there was a fair probability Plaintiff Radvansky had committed, was committing, or was about to commit a crime?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)
)
) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)
) JURY INTERROGATORY # 20
)
)
)
)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer, at the time of the arrest, had reasonably reliable information, independent of Rosemark's allegations, that there was a fair probability Plaintiff Radvansky had committed, was committing, or was about to commit a crime?

Answer Yes or No

Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is Yes, continue on to answer Interrogatory # 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 21

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy intentionally or recklessly committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No

NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatory #22 and continue on to answer Interrogatory #23 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Telegdy on General Verdict Form #1, answer Interrogatory #22, skip Interrogatories #23-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #28 and those thereafter.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
ARON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 22

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy negligently committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No

NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgement in favor of Defendant Telegdy on General Verdict Form #1, skip Interrogatories #23-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory # 28 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #23 and those thereafter.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

V.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 23

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy's acts were the proximate or legal cause of damages sustained by Plaintiff Radvansky?

Answer Yes or No *No*

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgement in favor of Plaintiff Radvansky on General Verdict Form #1, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #24 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgement in favor of Defendant Telegdy on General Verdict Form #1, skip interrogatories #24-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #28 and those thereafter.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

V.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 24

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for the reasonable value of any property lost or destroyed during, or as a result of, Defendant Telegdy's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

[illegible]

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 25
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for the reasonable cost of medical care and hospitalization as a result of, Defendant Telegdy's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 26
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for physical as well as emotional pain and mental anguish as a result of, Defendant Telegdy's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 27

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 27
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy acted with callous or reckless disregard or indifference to Plaintiff Radvansky's federally protected rights and that punitive damages should be assessed against Defendant Telegdy?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory #28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 28
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)
RALPH SAXER,)
Defendants.)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer intentionally or recklessly committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatory #29, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #30 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and continue on to only answer Interrogatory #29, skipping Interrogatories #30-34.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 29

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer negligently committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No

NO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and skip answering Interrogatories # 30-34.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #30 and those thereafter.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and
RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:02CV634

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

JURY INTERROGATORY # 30

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer's acts were the proximate or legal cause of damages sustained by Plaintiff Radvansky?

Answer Yes or No

No

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

14th day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Radvansky on General Verdict Form #2 and continue on to answer Interrogatory #31 and those thereafter.

If you answer "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and skip Interrogatories #31-34.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 31
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for the reasonable value of any property lost or destroyed during, or as a result of, Defendant Saxer's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 32

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 32
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for the reasonable cost of medical care and hospitalization as a result of, Defendant Saxer's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 33

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 33
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be awarded damages to compensate for physical as well as emotional pain and mental anguish as a result of, Defendant Saxer's unconstitutional acts?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 34

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 34
)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer acted with callous or reckless disregard or indifference to or with reckless indifference to Plaintiff Radvansky's federally protected rights and that punitive damages should be assessed against Defendant Saxer?

Answer Yes or No _____

If your answer is "Yes", in what amount? \$ _____

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this _____ day of June, 2005.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

You are finished with the Interrogatories.